



CHSR

(3)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR
(CHHATTISGARH)

Single Bench

C.R. No. 206 /2003

CIVIL REVISION UNDER SECTION 115 OF C.P.C.

APPLICANTS
CLAIMANTS



1. Sunil Kumar Mall, aged 24 years S/o. B.N. Mall, Profession Student
2. Sachin Kumar Mall aged 21 years S/o. B.N. Mall, Profession Student
3. Anil Kumar Mall, aged 26 years S/o. B.N. Mall, profession Advocate
4. Santosh Kumar Mall, aged 20 years, S/o. B.N. Mall Profession Student
   All R/o. Shantinagar Ward Jagdalpur, Tahsil Jagdalpur Distt. Bastar (C.G.)
5. Smt. Kanti Devi, aged 50 years W/o. Barkhu Prasad, profession House wife, Caste Raidas, R/o. Distt. Dantewada (C.G.)

VERSUS

NON-APPLICANTS
DEFENDANTS

1. The Executive Engineer P.W.D. Bridge Construction Division, Jagdalpur Distt. Bastar
2. The State of Chhattisgarh Through Sub-Divisional Officer Distt. Dantewada (C.G.)

RECEIVED COPY.
19-6-03
CLERK TO A.G. BILASPUR

P. R. No. 1325/03 by Shri S.R. Sahu dated 19.6.03

BEING AGGRIEVED BY THE ORDER DATED 13.03.2003 PASSED IN M.J.C. NO. 20/2001 BY THE FIRST ADDITIONAL DISTRICT JUDGE JAGDALPUR DISTRICT BASTAR

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

(18)

मामला क्रमांक ...C.R....206/03 **सन्** **200**

..... **विरुद्ध** .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	15/03/2005 Shri Ratan Pusty, counsel for the applicants. Shri Satish Gupta, Dy. G.A. for the State. Learned counsel for the applicants after arguing at length seeks to withdraw this revision on the ground that the instant revision is not maintainable under the amended provisions of Section 115 of CPC with liberty to file appropriate proceedings available under the law. Learned counsel for the applicants submits that in view of the amended provisions of Section 115 of Code of Civil Procedure and the law laid down by the Apex Court in the case of ***Surya Devi Rai Vs. Ram Chander Rai and Others reported in (2003) Vol. 6, SCC 675 as well as in the case of Shiv Shakti Coop. Housing Socieity, Nagpur Vs. Swaraj Developers and Others reported in (2003) 6 SCC 659*** , the instant revision is not maintainable. Accordingly, the revision petition is dismissed as not maintainable with liberty as prayed for. **Sd/-** **Dhirendra Mishra** **Judge**	